the Prov¹ Court on a Judgment rendered for Thomas Bordley against him Whereon he is in the Custody of Daniel Mariarte Sherr of Ann¹¹ County from Which Judgment there was an appeale to this Court Where the Judgment was Affirmed and Appeale granted to his Majesty in Council Complaining that he had been Executed after the Said Appeale granted to his Majesty in Council and Security thereon granted and praying to be relieved from that Execution Alledging it was unduly and Erroneously issued

The Petition of William Rogers in relation to an Execution issued out of the Prov¹ Court on a Judgment rendered for Thomas Bordley Against him whereon he is in the Custody of Dan¹ Mariarte Sherriff of Annarundell County from which Judgment there was an Appeale to this Court Where the Judgment was Affirmed and Appeale granted to his Majesty in Council Complaining that he had been Executed after the Last appeale granted to his Majesty and Council and Security thereon granted and praying to be relieved from that Execution alledging it was unduly and Erroneously issued

Order was this Day given that the Cłk of this Court give Notice to Mr Vachel Denton Cłk of the Provincial Court and to Daniel Mariarte Gent Sherriff of Annarundell County of the af^a Petitions given in to this Court by Cockey Gordon and Rogers and the Minutes thereon made and hearing on Friday Next.

The Court Adjourns to Friday Twelve of the Clock.

Friday July the 22d 1726 The Court meet According to Adjournment Present as on Wednesday Except Coł Richard Tilghman

This Day Edward Fotterall Came into Court and Qualifyed himself as an Attorney by Taking the Oaths to the Government and the Oath of Attorney

The Court Adjourns till to morrow morning 9 of the Clock

Saturday July the 23d 1726 The Court meet According to Adjournment present as Yesterday

This day his Excellencys Opinion in Writing in relation to the three Severall Petitions of Cockey, Gordon and Rogers is ordered to be entered [711] Upon the Petitions of Cockey Gordon and Rogers to this Court.

I am of Opinion that the Petitioners Securitys be Approved of by the Court as Sufficient to Answer the Debt and all Costs already Due or that Shall Acrue by their Appeal to his Majesty in Council is a Supersedeas to any Execution Notwithstanding a Day Certain is not mentioned for it is not Possible to Sett a day to that high Court their Sitting being Uncertain and I am further of Opinion that the Petitioners are beyond Doubt illegally imprisoned because the Writt by Which they were taken was issued the Twenty first Day of May and the Affirmation of the Judgment of the Provl Court in the Court of Appeals was not till the third day of June following for